## Procedural sedation: what would the patient like?

Gavin Lloyd,<sup>1</sup> Alasdair Gray<sup>2</sup>

Imagine breaking your ankle. Worse still having a fracture/dislocation of your ankle that you recognise needs manipulation. What are your thoughts preprocedure? How confident are you of a painless (and hopefully successful) reduction? Might we assume that deep down, you dread an uncomfortable experience and its recall? In similar circumstances what are patients' genuine experiences?

Analysis of the emergency medicine literature reveals widely varying recall rates: 7%,<sup>1</sup> 12%–13%,<sup>2</sup> 12%–16%,<sup>3</sup> 65%<sup>4</sup> and 90%.5 More importantly, patients reporting *painful* recall of the procedure on return to baseline mental status has been recorded as 2%-6%,<sup>2</sup> 10%-14%<sup>3</sup> and 30%.<sup>4</sup> In a randomised controlled trial comparing sedation with ketamine versus propofol by Miner et al, patients recall of any pain during the procedure was 2% of those randomised to ketamine and 6% of those given propofol; all reported 100% satisfaction with the treatment they received during the procedure.<sup>1</sup> In an earlier randomised controlled trial targeting deep sedation, Miner et al recorded painful recall more often: in 9.9% of those patients given 1 mg/kg propofol and 10 µg/kg alfentanil, and in 13.5% in those given propofol alone.3 All patients had been given titrated intravenous morphine up to 20 min prior to the procedure. Patient satisfaction was 94% in both groups. Six of 20 patients in a study by Freeston *et al*<sup>4</sup> were able to recall pain postprocedure. Two of these rated their pain as 9/10 and 10/10 and their satisfaction as 4/10 and 5/10, respectively. Both received midazolam/morphine combinations, the former widely regarded as reliably providing amnesia. In summary, painful recall does occur after procedural sedation, even when deeper levels of sedation are targeted. Painful recall

unsurprisingly correlates indirectly with patient satisfaction: lower levels of recall are associated with higher satisfaction rates.

Better communication with patients requiring procedural sedation is a key message from a recent report from the Royal College of Anaesthetists and Association of Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland<sup>6</sup> (their fifth National Audit Project: NAP5). The study recommends avoiding terms such as 'we'll give you something to make you sleep', or 'you won't be aware of anything', since these terms describe the state of anaesthesia or total amnesia. It argues that we should stress that procedural sedation is not general anaesthesia; amnesia is a side effect of sedation and not a guarantee. The report offers a useful table defining levels of sedation and analgesia with respect to patient response and intervention. It does not mention the unique effect of ketamine -we have added 'dissociative sedation' to the otherwise original table (table 1). In addition, we would advocate seeking informed consent and feedback when the patient regains full consciousness. We note patient dissatisfaction following sedation does not feature as an adverse event in an internationally accepted audit tool<sup>7</sup> we have previously endorsed on behalf of the Royal College of Emergency Medicine (RCEM).<sup>8</sup> Importantly, patient satisfaction will feature in the RCEM 2015 audit on procedural sedation and analgesia.

**Contributors** Both authors have collaborated fully to produce this editorial.

Competing interests None declared.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; internally peer reviewed.



	What will this feel like?	What will I remember?	What's the risk related to the sedation drugs?	
Not sedated; awake	I am awake, possibly anxious. There may be some mild discomfort (depending on the what I am having done)	Everything	Nearly zero	
Minimal sedation	l am awake and calm. There may be some mild or brief discomfort	Probably everything	Very low risk	
Moderate sedation	I am sleepy and calm but remain in control. I may feel some mild discomfort	I might remember some things	Low risk	
Dissociative sedation	I am in a trance. I will not be in control. Any pain or sensations I feel may feel oddly remote, as if I am floating away from my body	I may remember being in a trance or may recall vivid dreams	I will need oxygen and special monitoring. I have a 1 in 10 chance of being sick	
Deep sedation	I am asleep. I will not be in control	Probably very little	Higher risk. My breathing may slow when I am asleep—and I may need help to breathe—a tube might be inserted into my nose, mouth or (rarely) windpipe. I will need oxygen and special monitoring	
Anaesthesia	I am deeply 'asleep' and unable to respond	Very unlikely to remember anything	Higher risk (but the presence of an anaesthetist increases safety). My breathing may slow or stop and my blood pressure and heart rate may fall. I will need a specialist doctor to look after my breathing and support my blood pressure and heart rate I will need oxygen and special monitoring and equipment	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Emergency Department, Royal Devon & Exeter Hosptial Wonford, Exeter, UK; <sup>2</sup>Emergency Department, Royal Infirmary of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK

**Correspondence to** Dr Gavin Lloyd L162, Emergency Department, Royal Devon & Exeter Hospital Wonford, Barrack Road, Exeter EX2 5DW, UK; gavin.lloyd@nhs.net

To cite Lloyd G, Gray A. *Emerg Med J* 2016;33:172–173.

Accepted 8 August 2015 Published Online First 3 September 2015

*Emerg Med J* 2016;**33**:172–173. doi:10.1136/emermed-2015-205247

## REFERENCES

- Swann A, Williams J, Fatovich DM. Recall after procedural sedation in the emergency department. *Emerg Med J* 2007;24:322–4.
- 2 Miner JR, Gray RO, Bahr J, *et al.* Randomized clinical trial of propofol versus ketamine for procedural

sedation in the emergency department. *Acad Emerg Med* 2010;17:604–11.

- 3 Miner JR, Gray RO, Stephens D, et al. Randomized clinical trial of propofol with and without alfentanil for deep procedural sedation in the emergency department. Acad Emerg Med 2009;16:825–34.
- 4 Freeston JA, Leal A, Gray A. Procedural sedation and recall in the emergency department: the relationship between depth of sedation and patient recall and satisfaction (a pilot study). *Emerg Med J* 2012;29:670–2.
- 5 Dunn MG, Mitchell R, Souza CD, *et al.* Evaluation of propofol and remifentanil for intravenous sedation for reducing shoulder dislocations in the emergency department. *Emerg Med J* 2005;23:57–8.
- 6 Pandit JJ, Cook TM, the NAP5 Steering Panel. NAP5. Accidental awareness during general anaesthesia. London: The Royal College of Anaesthetists and Association of Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland, 2014. ISBN:978-1-900936 11-8
- 7 Mason KP, Green SM, Piacevoli Q, the International Sedation Task Force. Adverse event reporting tool to standardize the reporting and tracking of adverse events during procedural sedation: a consensus document from the World SIVA International Sedation Task Force. Br J Anaesth 2012;108:13–20.
- 8 The Royal College of Emergency Medicine. External Guidelines, NHS Evidence. http://www.rcem.ac.uk/ Shop-Floor/Clinical%20Guidelines/External% 20Guidelines/ (accessed 24 Aug 2015).



## Procedural sedation: what would the patient like?

Gavin Lloyd and Alasdair Gray

*Emerg Med J* 2016 33: 172-173 originally published online September 3, 2015 doi: 10.1136/emermed-2015-205247

Updated information and services can be found at: http://emj.bmj.com/content/33/3/172

Т	hese	incl	lud	le:
•	1000		uu	۰.

References	This article cites 6 articles, 3 of which you can access for free at: http://emj.bmj.com/content/33/3/172#BIBL
Email alerting service	Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up in the box at the top right corner of the online article.

Notes

To request permissions go to: http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions

To order reprints go to: http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform

To subscribe to BMJ go to: http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/